

# Quantum Information Theory, Spring 2019

Problem Set 6

due March 18, 2019

1. (4 points) **Compression and correlations:** In this problem, you will show that the definition of an  $(n, R, \delta)$ -quantum code is more stringent than demanding that the average fidelity is high for any particular source. Consider a qubit source that emits states  $\rho_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$  and  $\rho_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$  with 50% probability each. Let  $\rho \in D(\mathcal{X})$  denote the average output state of the source ( $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^2$ ). Find channels  $\mathcal{E}_n \in C(\mathcal{X}^{\otimes n}, (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_n \in C((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}, \mathcal{X}^{\otimes n})$  such that

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} 2^{-n} F(\mathcal{D}_n[\mathcal{E}_n[\rho_{x_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{x_n}], \rho_{x_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{x_n}]) = 1,$$

but  $F(\mathcal{D}_n \mathcal{E}_n, \rho^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Hint: Choose both  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and  $\mathcal{D}_n$  to be measure-and-prepare channels.*

2. (4 points) **Monotonicity properties:** We already know that the trace distance and fidelity are monotone with respect to tracing out subsystems. In this problem, you will extend these properties to arbitrary quantum channels  $\Phi \in C(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ . Thus, show that:

- (a)  $\|\Phi[A]\|_1 \leq \|A\|_1$  for all  $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$ , and hence  $\|\Phi[\rho] - \Phi[\sigma]\|_1 \leq \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$  for all  $\rho, \sigma \in D(\mathcal{X})$ ,  
 (b)  $F(\Phi[\rho], \Phi[\sigma]) \geq F(\rho, \sigma)$  for all  $\rho, \sigma \in D(\mathcal{X})$ .

*Hint: First prove that  $\|VAV^*\|_1 = \|A\|_1$  for every  $A \in L(\mathcal{X})$  and isometry  $V \in L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ .*

3. (4 points) **Subadditivity:** Use Schumacher's theorem to prove the following inequality, which is known as the *subadditivity property* of the quantum entropy:

$$H(\rho_X) + H(\rho_Y) \geq H(\rho)$$

for every  $\rho \in D(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$  with reduced states  $\rho_X = \text{Tr}_Y[\rho]$  and  $\rho_Y = \text{Tr}_X[\rho]$ .

*Hint: You are allowed to use the following 'triangle inequality' for the fidelity (without proof): For any three states  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D(\mathcal{Z})$ , if  $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq 1 - \delta$  and  $F(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1 - \delta$  then  $F(\alpha, \gamma) \geq 1 - 4\delta$ .*

4. (4 points) **Practice:** In this problem, you can explore the behavior of the typical subspaces. Consider the state  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2}|+\rangle\langle +|$ , where  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ .

- (a) Compute the largest eigenvalue  $p$  of  $\rho$  and the quantum entropy  $H(\rho)$ .  
 (b) Plot the following functions for  $n = 100$  and  $n = 1000$ :

$$d(k) = \binom{n}{k}, \quad r(k) = \frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n}{k}, \quad q(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

for  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .

- (c) Plot the following functions for  $\varepsilon = 0.1$  and  $\varepsilon = 0.01$ :

$$r(n) = \frac{1}{n} \log \dim S_{n,\varepsilon}, \quad p(n) = \text{Tr}[\Pi_{n,\varepsilon} \rho^{\otimes n}],$$

for  $n \in \{1, \dots, 1000\}$ , where  $\Pi_{n,\varepsilon}$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto the typical subspace  $S_{n,\varepsilon}$  of  $\rho$ .