# Grand Unification of Quantum Algorithms

András Gilyén

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Budapest, Hungary



Quantum Computing Summer School, Physikzentrum Bad Honnef, Germany 2022 August 14-19

# Quantum algorithm design



# Quantum algorithm design



Many quantum algorithms have a common structure!

# Motivating example - the quantum matrix inversion (HHL) algorithm

We want to solve large systems of linear equations

Ax = b.

A quantum computer can nicely work with exponential sized matrices! Given  $|b\rangle$ , we can prepare a solution  $\propto A^{-1}|b\rangle$ .

#### Motivating example - the quantum matrix inversion (HHL) algorithm

We want to solve large systems of linear equations

Ax = b.

A quantum computer can nicely work with exponential sized matrices! Given  $|b\rangle$ , we can prepare a solution  $\propto A^{-1}|b\rangle$ .

Matrix arithmetic on a quantum computer using block-encoding

Input matrix : A; Implementation :  $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ ; Algorithm :  $U' = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ .

In HHL  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Use Singular Value Transformation to approximate it!

#### Motivating example - the quantum matrix inversion (HHL) algorithm

We want to solve large systems of linear equations

Ax = b.

A quantum computer can nicely work with exponential sized matrices! Given  $|b\rangle$ , we can prepare a solution  $\propto A^{-1}|b\rangle$ .

Matrix arithmetic on a quantum computer using block-encoding

Input matrix : A; Implementation :  $U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ ; Algorithm :  $U' = \begin{bmatrix} f(A) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ .

In HHL  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Use Singular Value Transformation to approximate it!

#### More examples

- Optimal Hamiltonian simulation [Low et al.], quantum walks [Szegedy]
- ▶ Fixed point [Yoder et al.] and oblivious amplitude amplification [Berry et al.]
- HHL, regression [Chakraborty et al.], SDPs & LPs [Brandão et al.], ML [Kerendis et al.]

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (| 0 \rangle^a \otimes I ).$$

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (| 0 \rangle^a \otimes I ).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (| 0 \rangle^a \otimes I ).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I \rangle U (|0\rangle^a \otimes I).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

#### One can efficiently construct block-encodings of

• an efficiently implementable unitary U,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I \rangle U (|0\rangle^a \otimes I).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I \rangle U (|0\rangle^a \otimes I).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I \rangle U (|0\rangle^a \otimes I).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,
- a density operator  $\rho$  given a unitary preparing its purification.

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \iff A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I \rangle U (|0\rangle^a \otimes I).$$

Any complex matrix A with operator norm  $||A|| \le 1$  can be block-encoded.

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,
- a density operator  $\rho$  given a unitary preparing its purification.
- a POVM operator M given we can sample from the rand.var.:  $Tr(\rho M)$ ,

Suppose that  $\overline{A}$  is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices.

Suppose that *A* is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

Suppose that *A* is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0\rangle|0\rangle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle$$
garbage $angle$ ,

Suppose that *A* is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0\rangle|0\rangle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle|$$
garbage $angle$ ,

and "columns"

$$C: |0\rangle|0\rangle|j
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{\ell} rac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}} |\ell\rangle|j
angle + |2
angle|j
angle$$
garbage

Suppose that A is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0\rangle|0\rangle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle|garbage
angle,$$

and "columns"

$$C: |0
angle|0
angle |j
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{\ell} rac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}} |\ell
angle |j
angle + |2
angle |j
angle | ext{garbage}
angle,$$

They form a block-encoding of A/s:

 $\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle i|R^{\dagger}C|0\rangle|0\rangle|j\rangle$ 

Suppose that A is s-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0
angle|0
angle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle$$
garbage $angle$ ,

and "columns"

$$C: |0
angle|0
angle |j
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{\ell} rac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}} |\ell
angle |j
angle + |2
angle |j
angle |garbage
angle,$$

They form a block-encoding of A/s:

 $\overline{\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle i|R^{\dagger}\overline{C}|0
angle|0
angle|j
angle=ig(R|0
angle|0
angle|i
angleig)^{\dagger}\cdotig(C|0
angle|0
angle|j
angleig)}$ 

Suppose that A is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0\rangle|0\rangle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle|$$
garbage $angle$ ,

and "columns"

$$C: |0
angle|0
angle|j
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{\ell} rac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}} |\ell
angle|j
angle + |2
angle|j
angle|$$
garbage $angle$ ,

They form a block-encoding of A/s:

$$\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle i|R^{\dagger}C|0\rangle|0\rangle|j\rangle = (R|0\rangle|0\rangle|i\rangle)^{\dagger} \cdot (C|0\rangle|0\rangle|j\rangle) = \left(\sum_{k} \frac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i\rangle|k\rangle\right)^{\dagger} \left(\sum_{\ell} \frac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}}|\ell\rangle|j\rangle\right)$$

Suppose that A is *s*-sparse and  $|A_{ij}| \le 1$  for all *i*, *j* indices. Given "sparse-access" we can efficiently implement unitaries preparing "rows"

$$R: |0\rangle|0\rangle|i
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{k} rac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i
angle|k
angle + |1
angle|i
angle$$
garbage $angle$ ,

and "columns"

$$C: |0\rangle|0\rangle|j
angle 
ightarrow |0
angle \sum_{\ell} rac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}} |\ell\rangle|j
angle + |2
angle|j
angle|$$
garbage $angle$ ,

They form a block-encoding of A/s:

$$\langle 0|\langle 0|\langle i|R^{\dagger}C|0\rangle|0\rangle|j\rangle = (R|0\rangle|0\rangle|i\rangle)^{\dagger} \cdot (C|0\rangle|0\rangle|j\rangle) = \left(\sum_{k} \frac{(\sqrt{A_{ik}})^{*}}{\sqrt{s}}|i\rangle|k\rangle\right)^{\dagger} \left(\sum_{\ell} \frac{\sqrt{A_{\ell j}}}{\sqrt{s}}|\ell\rangle|j\rangle\right) = \frac{A_{ij}}{s}$$

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

• Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

- Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.
- ► Given block-encodings *A*, *B* we can implement block-encoding of *AB*.

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

- Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.
- ► Given block-encodings *A*, *B* we can implement block-encoding of *AB*.

Linear combination of (non-)unitary matrices [Childs and Wiebe '12, Berry et al. '15] Suppose that  $U = \sum_{i} |i \rangle \langle i| \otimes U_i$ , and  $P : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle$  for  $p_i \in [0, 1]$ .

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

- Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.
- ► Given block-encodings *A*, *B* we can implement block-encoding of *AB*.

#### Linear combination of (non-)unitary matrices [Childs and Wiebe '12, Berry et al. '15]

Suppose that  $U = \sum_i |i| \langle i| \otimes U_i$ , and  $P : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle$  for  $p_i \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(P^{\dagger} \otimes I) U(P \otimes I)$  is a block-encoding of  $\sum_i p_i U_i$ .

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

- Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.
- ► Given block-encodings *A*, *B* we can implement block-encoding of *AB*.

#### Linear combination of (non-)unitary matrices [Childs and Wiebe '12, Berry et al. '15]

Suppose that  $U = \sum_i |i| \langle i| \otimes U_i$ , and  $P : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle$  for  $p_i \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(P^{\dagger} \otimes I)U(P \otimes I)$  is a block-encoding of  $\sum_i p_i U_i$ . In particular if  $(\langle 0| \otimes I)U_i(|0\rangle \otimes I) = A_i$ , then it is a block-encoding of

$$\sum_i p_i A_i$$

#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $\mathbf{P}: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map.

#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $P: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$  be a degree-d odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $P: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$  be a degree-d odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |P(\varsigma_i)| |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $P: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$  be a degree-d odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |P(\varsigma_i)| |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |P(\varsigma_i)| |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

#### Alternating phase modulation sequence $U_{\Phi} :=$



#### Our main theorem about QSVT

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |P(\varsigma_i)| |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

#### Alternating phase modulation sequence $U_{\Phi} :=$



Simmilar result holds for even polynomials.

## Direct implementation of HHL / the pseudoinverse

#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^{+} = V\Sigma^{+}W^{\dagger}$ ,
#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^{+} = V\Sigma^{+}W^{\dagger}$ , (note  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma W^{\dagger}$ ) where  $\Sigma^{+}$  contains the inverses of the non-zero elements of  $\Sigma$ .

#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^{+} = V\Sigma^{+}W^{\dagger}$ , (note  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma W^{\dagger}$ ) where  $\Sigma^{+}$  contains the inverses of the non-zero elements of  $\Sigma$ .



#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^{+} = V\Sigma^{+}W^{\dagger}$ , (note  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma W^{\dagger}$ ) where  $\Sigma^{+}$  contains the inverses of the non-zero elements of  $\Sigma$ .



#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^+ = V\Sigma^+ W^{\dagger}$ , (note  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma W^{\dagger}$ ) where  $\Sigma^+$  contains the inverses of the non-zero elements of  $\Sigma$ .



#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = W\Sigma V^{\dagger}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of *A* is  $A^{+} = V\Sigma^{+}W^{\dagger}$ , (note  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma W^{\dagger}$ ) where  $\Sigma^{+}$  contains the inverses of the non-zero elements of  $\Sigma$ .



Degree / complexity:  $O\left(\kappa \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ 

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain *M* via a product of two reflection operators.

#### Connection to Szegedy quantum walks

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: *M*; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.)

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: *M*; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.) If we choose  $\phi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we get  $P = \pm T_d$  in QSVT.

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: *M*; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.) If we choose  $\phi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we get  $P = \pm T_d$  in QSVT.

#### Quantum Fast-Forwarding Markov Chains [Apers & Sarlette (2018)]

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: *M*; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.) If we choose  $\phi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we get  $P = \pm T_d$  in QSVT.

#### Quantum Fast-Forwarding Markov Chains [Apers & Sarlette (2018)]

Simulate *t* classical steps using  $\propto \sqrt{t}$  quantum operations.

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: M; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.) If we choose  $\phi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we get  $P = \pm T_d$  in QSVT.

#### Quantum Fast-Forwarding Markov Chains [Apers & Sarlette (2018)]

Simulate *t* classical steps using  $\propto \sqrt{t}$  quantum operations. I.e., implement

$$U' = \left[ egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{M}^t & \cdot \ \cdot & \cdot \end{array} 
ight].$$

#### **Connection to Szegedy quantum walks**

Szegedy defined (2004) quantisation of a symmetric Markov chain M via a product of two reflection operators. We can understand his algorithm as

Markov chain: *M*; Updates: 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} M & \cdot \\ & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$
; Walk:  $W^n = \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n}(M) & \cdot \\ & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ 

( $T_d$  is the *d*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.) If we choose  $\phi_j = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we get  $P = \pm T_d$  in QSVT.

#### Quantum Fast-Forwarding Markov Chains [Apers & Sarlette (2018)]

Simulate *t* classical steps using  $\propto \sqrt{t}$  quantum operations. I.e., implement

$$U' = \left[ egin{array}{cc} M^t & . \ . & . \end{array} 
ight].$$

Proof:  $x^t$  can be  $\varepsilon$ -apx. on [-1, 1] with a degree- $\sqrt{2t \ln(2/\varepsilon)}$  polynomial.

Singular value transf. = eigenvalue transf. [Low & Chuang (2017)]

Let  $P: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$  be a degree-*d* even/odd polynomial map.

#### Singular value transf. = eigenvalue transf. [Low & Chuang (2017)]

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* even/odd polynomial map. If *H* is Hermitian, then P(H) coincides with the singular value transform.

#### Singular value transf. = eigenvalue transf. [Low & Chuang (2017)]

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* even/odd polynomial map. If *H* is Hermitian, then P(H) coincides with the singular value transform.

#### Removing parity constraint for Hermitian matrices

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  be a degree-*d* polynomial map. Suppose that *U* is an *a*-qubit block-encoding of a Hermitian matrix *H*.

#### Singular value transf. = eigenvalue transf. [Low & Chuang (2017)]

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* even/odd polynomial map. If *H* is Hermitian, then P(H) coincides with the singular value transform.

#### Removing parity constraint for Hermitian matrices

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  be a degree-*d* polynomial map. Suppose that *U* is an *a*-qubit block-encoding of a Hermitian matrix *H*. We can implement

$$U' = \begin{bmatrix} P(H) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

using d times U and  $U^{\dagger}$ , 1 controlled U, and O(ad) extra two-qubit gates.

Proof: let  $P_{even}(x) := P(x) + P(-x)$  and  $P_{odd}(x) := P(x) - P(-x)$  then

 $P(H) = \frac{1}{2}(P_{even}(H) + P_{odd}(H))$  implement using QSVT + LCU

Single qubit quantum control using  $\sigma_z$  phases?

### Single qubit quantum control using $\sigma_z$ phases?

$$R(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x & -\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} & -x \end{bmatrix}; \quad e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}R(x)e^{i\phi_1\sigma_z}\cdot\ldots\cdot R(x)e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z} = (*)?$$

Single qubit quantum control using  $\sigma_z$  phases?

$$R(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x & -\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} & -x \end{bmatrix}; \quad e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}R(x)e^{i\phi_1\sigma_z}\cdot\ldots\cdot R(x)e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z} = (*)?$$

Theorem: Basic characterization [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we have

$$(*) = i^d \left[ \begin{array}{cc} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ Q_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} & P_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x) \end{array} \right],$$

where  $P_{\mathbb{C}}, Q_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are such that

Single qubit quantum control using  $\sigma_z$  phases?

$$R(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x & -\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} & -x \end{bmatrix}; \quad e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}R(x)e^{i\phi_1\sigma_z}\cdot\ldots\cdot R(x)e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z} = (*)?$$

Theorem: Basic characterization [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we have

$$(*) = i^{d} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ Q_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} & P_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x) \end{array} \right],$$

where  $P_{\mathbb{C}}, Q_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are such that (i)  $\deg(P_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d$  and  $\deg(Q_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d - 1$ , and

Single qubit quantum control using  $\sigma_z$  phases?

$$R(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x & -\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} & -x \end{bmatrix}; \quad e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}R(x)e^{i\phi_1\sigma_z}\cdot\ldots\cdot R(x)e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z} = (*)?$$

Theorem: Basic characterization [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we have

$$(*) = i^d \left[ \begin{array}{cc} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ Q_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} & P_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x) \end{array} \right],$$

where  $P_{\mathbb{C}}, Q_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are such that (i)  $\deg(P_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d$  and  $\deg(Q_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d - 1$ , and (ii)  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  has parity- $(d \mod 2)$  and  $Q_{\mathbb{C}}$  has parity- $(d - 1 \mod 2)$ , and

Single qubit quantum control using  $\sigma_z$  phases?

$$R(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x & -\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-x^2} & -x \end{bmatrix}; \quad e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}R(x)e^{i\phi_1\sigma_z}\cdot\ldots\cdot R(x)e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z} = (*)?$$

Theorem: Basic characterization [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we have

$$(*) = i^{d} \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ Q_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x)i\sqrt{1-x^2} & P_{\mathbb{C}}^*(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $P_{\mathbb{C}}, Q_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are such that

(i)  $\deg(P_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d$  and  $\deg(Q_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq d - 1$ , and

(ii)  $P_{\mathbb{C}}$  has parity-(d mod 2) and  $Q_{\mathbb{C}}$  has parity-(d - 1 mod 2), and

(iii)  $\forall x \in [-1, 1]$ :  $|P_{\mathbb{C}}(x)|^2 + (1 - x^2)|Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x)|^2 = 1$ .

## Real quantum signal processing

#### Theorem: Focusing on the real part [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be of degree *d*. There exists  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( R(x) e^{i\phi_j \sigma_z} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Re[P_{\mathbb{C}}] = P$  if and only if

## Real quantum signal processing

#### Theorem: Focusing on the real part [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be of degree *d*. There exists  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( R(x) e^{i\phi_j \sigma_z} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Re[P_{\mathbb{C}}] = P$  if and only if (i) *P* has parity-(*d* mod 2), and

## Real quantum signal processing

#### Theorem: Focusing on the real part [Low, Yoder, Chuang (2016)]

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be of degree d. There exists  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( R(x) e^{i\phi_j \sigma_z} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathfrak{R}[P_{\mathbb{C}}] = P$  if and only if

- (i) P has parity-( $d \mod 2$ ), and
- (ii) for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ :  $|P(x)| \le 1$ .

# Implementing the real part of a polynomial map

### **Direct implementation**

$$-\underline{e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z}} - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_{d-1}\sigma_z}} - \cdots - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}} - = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

# Implementing the real part of a polynomial map

### **Direct implementation**

$$-\underline{e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z}} - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_{d-1}\sigma_z}} - \cdots - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}} - = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

### Indirect implementation



# Implementing the real part of a polynomial map

#### **Direct implementation**

$$-\underline{e^{i\phi_d\sigma_z}} - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_{d-1}\sigma_z}} - \cdots - \underline{R(x)} - \underline{e^{i\phi_0\sigma_z}} - = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}}(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

### Indirect implementation



#### **Real implementation**



### $1 \times 1$ case

Input: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} x & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$
 Modulation:  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi} & \\ & e^{-i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$  Output:  $\begin{bmatrix} P(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ 

### $1 \times 1$ case

Input: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} x & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$
 Modulation:  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi} & \\ & e^{-i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$  Output:  $\begin{bmatrix} P(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ 

### $2 \times 2$ case (higher-dimensional case is similar)

Input unitary	Modulation	Output circuit
x         .           .         .           y         .	$\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi} & & \\ & e^{-i\phi} & \\ & & e^{i\phi} & \\ & & e^{-i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} P(x) & . & \\ . & . & \\ & P(y) & . \end{bmatrix}$

### $1 \times 1$ case

Input: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} x & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$
 Modulation:  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi} \\ e^{-i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$  Output:  $\begin{bmatrix} P(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ 

### $2 \times 2$ case (higher-dimensional case is similar)



### $1 \times 1$ case

Input: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} x & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$
 Modulation:  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi} & \\ & e^{-i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$  Output:  $\begin{bmatrix} P(x) & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ 

### $2\times 2$ case (higher-dimensional case is similar)



# Fast QMA gap amplification [Marriott-Watrous'05] [Nagaj et al.'09]

#### The language class QMA

The language *L* belongs to the class QMA if for every input length |x| there exists a quantum verifier  $V_{|x|}$ , and numbers  $0 \le b_{|x|} < a_{|x|} \le 1$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{a_{|x|} - b_{|x|}} = O(\text{poly}(|x|))$ , such that for all

- $x \in L$  there exists a witness  $|\psi\rangle$  such that upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^{m}|\psi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x| + 1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at least  $a_{|x|}$ ,
- $x \notin L$  for any state  $|\phi\rangle$  upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^m|\phi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x|+1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at most  $b_{|x|}$ .

# Fast QMA gap amplification [Marriott-Watrous'05] [Nagaj et al.'09]

#### The language class QMA

The language *L* belongs to the class QMA if for every input length |x| there exists a quantum verifier  $V_{|x|}$ , and numbers  $0 \le b_{|x|} < a_{|x|} \le 1$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{a_{|x|} - b_{|x|}} = O(\text{poly}(|x|))$ , such that for all

- $x \in L$  there exists a witness  $|\psi\rangle$  such that upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^{m}|\psi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x| + 1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at least  $a_{|x|}$ ,
- $x \notin L$  for any state  $|\phi\rangle$  upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^m|\phi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x|+1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at most  $b_{|x|}$ .

#### Fast QMA amplification [Nagaj et al.'09]

We can modify the verifier circuit  $V_{|x|}$  such that the acceptance probability thresholds become

 $a' := 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $b' := \varepsilon$  using singular value transformation of degree O

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{|x|}} - \sqrt{b_{|x|}}} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \bigg).$$

# Fast QMA gap amplification [Marriott-Watrous'05] [Nagaj et al.'09]

#### The language class QMA

The language *L* belongs to the class QMA if for every input length |x| there exists a quantum verifier  $V_{|x|}$ , and numbers  $0 \le b_{|x|} < a_{|x|} \le 1$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{a_{|x|} - b_{|x|}} = O(\text{poly}(|x|))$ , such that for all

- $x \in L$  there exists a witness  $|\psi\rangle$  such that upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^{m}|\psi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x| + 1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at least  $a_{|x|}$ ,
- $x \notin L$  for any state  $|\phi\rangle$  upon measuring the state  $V_{|x|}|x\rangle|0\rangle^{m}|\phi\rangle$  the probability of finding the (|x| + 1)st qubit in state  $|1\rangle$  has probability at most  $b_{|x|}$ .

#### Fast QMA amplification [Nagaj et al.'09]

We can modify the verifier circuit  $V_{|x|}$  such that the acceptance probability thresholds become

 $a' := 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $b' := \varepsilon$  using singular value transformation of degree  $O(-\varepsilon)$ 

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{|x|}} - \sqrt{b_{|x|}}} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \bigg).$$

Observe that by the above definition

$$\forall x \in L : \left\| \left( \langle x | \otimes |1 \rangle \langle 1 | \otimes I_{n+m-1} \right) V \left( |x \rangle \otimes |0 \rangle \langle 0 |^{\otimes m} \otimes I_n \right) \right\| \ge \sqrt{a_{|x|}},$$
  
$$\forall x \notin L : \left\| \left( \langle x | \otimes |1 \rangle \langle 1 | \otimes I_{n+m-1} \right) V \left( |x \rangle \otimes |0 \rangle \langle 0 |^{\otimes m} \otimes I_n \right) \right\| \le \sqrt{b_{|x|}}.$$

### Singular vector transformation and projection

#### Fixed-point and oblivious amplitude ampl. [Yoder et al., Berry et al.]

Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

$$|U|0
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle \left|\psi_{ ext{good}}
ight
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle |\psi_{ ext{bad}}
angle, \quad ext{prepare} \left|\psi_{ ext{good}}
ight
angle.$$
#### Fixed-point and oblivious amplitude ampl. [Yoder et al., Berry et al.]

Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

$$|U|0
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle |\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle |\psi_{bad}
angle, \quad ext{prepare} |\psi_{good}
angle.$$

Note that  $(|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes I)U(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \sqrt{p}|0, \psi_{good}\rangle\langle 0|$ ; we can apply QSVT.

#### Fixed-point and oblivious amplitude ampl. [Yoder et al., Berry et al.]

Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

$$|U|0
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle |\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle |\psi_{bad}
angle, \quad ext{prepare} |\psi_{good}
angle.$$

Note that  $(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I)U(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \sqrt{p}|0, \psi_{good}\rangle\langle 0|$ ; we can apply QSVT.

#### **Generalization: Singular vector transformation**

Given a unitary U, and projectors  $\Pi$ ,  $\Pi$ , such that

$$A = \widetilde{\sqcap} U \Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varsigma_i |\phi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i$$

is a singular value decomposition.

#### Fixed-point and oblivious amplitude ampl. [Yoder et al., Berry et al.]

Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

$$|U|0
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle |\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle |\psi_{bad}
angle, \quad ext{prepare} |\psi_{good}
angle.$$

Note that  $(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I)U(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \sqrt{p}|0, \psi_{good}\rangle\langle 0|$ ; we can apply QSVT.

#### **Generalization: Singular vector transformation**

Given a unitary U, and projectors  $\Pi$ ,  $\Pi$ , such that

$$A = \widetilde{\Pi} U \Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \varsigma_i |\phi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i |$$

is a singular value decomposition. Transform one copy of a quantum state

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=i}^{k} \alpha_i |\psi_i\rangle$$
 to  $|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=i}^{k} \alpha_i |\phi_i\rangle$ 

#### Fixed-point and oblivious amplitude ampl. [Yoder et al., Berry et al.]

Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

$$|U|0
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle |\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle |\psi_{bad}
angle, \quad ext{prepare} |\psi_{good}
angle.$$

Note that  $(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I)U(|0\rangle\langle 0|) = \sqrt{p}|0, \psi_{good}\rangle\langle 0|$ ; we can apply QSVT.

#### **Generalization: Singular vector transformation**

Given a unitary U, and projectors  $\Pi$ ,  $\Pi$ , such that

$$A = \widetilde{\Pi} U \Pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \varsigma_i |\phi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i |$$

is a singular value decomposition. Transform one copy of a quantum state

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=i}^{k} \alpha_i |\psi_i\rangle$$
 to  $|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=i}^{k} \alpha_i |\phi_i\rangle$ .

If  $\varsigma_i \ge \delta$  for all  $0 \ne \alpha_i$ , we can  $\varepsilon$ -apx. using QSVT with compl.  $O\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ .

Suppose that *H* is given as an *a*-qubit block-encoding, i.e.,  $U = \begin{bmatrix} H & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ .

Suppose that *H* is given as an *a*-qubit block-encoding, i.e.,  $U = \begin{bmatrix} H & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ .

### Complexity of block-Hamiltonians simulation [Low & Chuang (2016)]

Given  $t, \varepsilon > 0$ , implement a unitary U', which is  $\varepsilon$  close to  $e^{itH}$ . Can be achieved with query complexity

 $O(t + \log(1/\varepsilon)).$ 

Gate complexity is O(a) times the above.

Suppose that *H* is given as an *a*-qubit block-encoding, i.e.,  $U = \begin{bmatrix} H & . \\ . & \\ . & \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### Complexity of block-Hamiltonians simulation [Low & Chuang (2016)]

Given  $t, \varepsilon > 0$ , implement a unitary U', which is  $\varepsilon$  close to  $e^{itH}$ . Can be achieved with query complexity

 $O(t + \log(1/\varepsilon)).$ 

Gate complexity is O(a) times the above.

#### **Proof sketch**

Approximate to  $\varepsilon$ -precision sin(*tx*) and cos(*tx*) with polynomials of degree as above. Then use QSVT and combine even/odd parts.

#### **Optimal complexity**

$$\Theta\bigg(t + \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\log(e + \log(1/\varepsilon)/t)}$$

Suppose that *H* is given as an *a*-qubit block-encoding, i.e.,  $U = \begin{bmatrix} H & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### Complexity of block-Hamiltonians simulation [Low & Chuang (2016)]

Given  $t, \varepsilon > 0$ , implement a unitary U', which is  $\varepsilon$  close to  $e^{itH}$ . Can be achieved with query complexity

 $O(t + \log(1/\varepsilon)).$ 

Gate complexity is O(a) times the above.

#### **Proof sketch**

Approximate to  $\varepsilon$ -precision sin(*tx*) and cos(*tx*) with polynomials of degree as above. Then use QSVT and combine even/odd parts.

#### **Optimal complexity**

 $\Theta\left(t + \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\log(e + \log(1/\varepsilon)/t)}\right)$  cf. density matrix exp.  $\Theta(t^2/\varepsilon)$  Lloyd et al., Kimmel et al.]

The basic approach

• Sample  $i \sim p_i$ 

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- Output  $f(\tilde{p}_i)$

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- Output  $f(\tilde{p}_i)$  E.g., for entropy output  $-\log(\tilde{p}_i)$

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- Output  $f(\tilde{p}_i)$  E.g., for entropy output  $-\log(\tilde{p}_i)$
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- Output  $f(\tilde{p}_i)$  E.g., for entropy output  $-\log(\tilde{p}_i)$
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process
- Quantum improvement:

#### The basic approach

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- ► Output f(p̃<sub>i</sub>) E.g., for entropy output log(p̃<sub>i</sub>)
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process

Quantum improvement: use amplitude estimation (Bravyi, Harrow and Hassidim – 2009)

Suppose we can implement "quantum sampling":  $U_{\rho}$ :  $|0\rangle \mapsto \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}} |\phi_{i}\rangle |i\rangle$ 

#### The basic approach

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- ➤ Output f(p̃<sub>i</sub>) E.g., for entropy output log(p̃<sub>i</sub>)
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process

Quantum improvement: use amplitude estimation (Bravyi, Harrow and Hassidim – 2009)

Suppose we can implement "quantum sampling":  $U_p : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\phi_i\rangle |i\rangle$ Observation: a block encoding of  $\sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\tilde{\phi_i}\rangle |i|$  suffices and can be constructed!

#### The basic approach

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- ➤ Output f(p̃<sub>i</sub>) E.g., for entropy output log(p̃<sub>i</sub>)
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process

Quantum improvement: use amplitude estimation (Bravyi, Harrow and Hassidim – 2009)

Suppose we can implement "quantum sampling":  $U_p : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\phi_i\rangle |i\rangle$ Observation: a block encoding of  $\sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\tilde{\phi_i}\rangle |i|$  suffices and can be constructed!

The same technique works for density operators!

### The basic approach

- Sample  $i \sim p_i$
- Estimate  $\tilde{p}_i$
- ➤ Output f(p̃<sub>i</sub>) E.g., for entropy output log(p̃<sub>i</sub>)
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{p}_i)]$  by repeating the process

Quantum improvement: use amplitude estimation (Bravyi, Harrow and Hassidim – 2009)

Suppose we can implement "quantum sampling":  $U_p : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\phi_i\rangle |i\rangle$ Observation: a block encoding of  $\sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle |i|$  suffices and can be constructed!

The same technique works for density operators! Purified access  $U_{\rho}$ :  $|0\rangle \mapsto \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}} |\phi_{i}\rangle |\psi_{i}\rangle$ , where  $\rho = \sum_{i} p_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle\psi_{i}|$ 

Operationally access and transform the probabilities:

Up

Operationally access and transform the probabilities:

$$U_{
ho} \Rightarrow U'_{
ho} := \left[ egin{array}{cc} {
m diag}(\sqrt{
ho}) & \cdot \ & \cdot \ & \cdot \end{array} 
ight]$$

Operationally access and transform the probabilities:

$$U_{
ho} \Rightarrow U'_{
ho} := \left[ egin{array}{cc} \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{
ho}) & \cdot \ & \cdot \end{array} 
ight] \stackrel{QSVT}{\Longrightarrow} U'_{f(
ho)} := \left[ egin{array}{cc} \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{f(
ho)}) & \cdot \ & \cdot \end{array} 
ight]$$

Operationally access and transform the probabilities:

$$U_{\rho} \Rightarrow U'_{\rho} := \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\rho}) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{QSVT}{\Longrightarrow} U'_{f(\rho)} := \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{f(\rho)}) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

Apply the operation to a sample:

$$U_{f(\rho)}'|0\rangle \sum_{i=1} \sqrt{\rho_i} |i\rangle |\psi_i\rangle = |0\rangle \sum_{i=1} \sqrt{\rho_i} \sqrt{f(\rho_i)} |i\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle + |1\rangle \dots$$

Operationally access and transform the probabilities:

$$U_{\rho} \Rightarrow U'_{\rho} := \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\rho}) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{QSVT}{\Longrightarrow} U'_{f(\rho)} := \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{f(\rho)}) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

Apply the operation to a sample:

$$U_{f(p)}'|0\rangle \sum_{i=1} \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle |\psi_i\rangle = |0\rangle \sum_{i=1} \sqrt{p_i} \sqrt{f(p_i)} |i\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_i\rangle + |1\rangle \dots$$

Estimate the probability of measuring  $|0\rangle$ :

$$\sum_{i=1} p_i f(p_i) = \mathbb{E}[f(p)]$$

### An intuitive lower bound

#### Lower bound on eigenvalue transformation

Suppose that *U* is a block-encoding of a Hermitian matrix *H* from a family of operators. Let  $f: [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ , then implementing a block-encoding of f(H) requires at least  $\left\|\frac{df}{dx}\right\|_{I}$  uses of *U*, if  $I \subseteq [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  is an interval of potential eigenvalues of *H*.

### An intuitive lower bound

#### Lower bound on eigenvalue transformation

Suppose that *U* is a block-encoding of a Hermitian matrix *H* from a family of operators. Let  $f: [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ , then implementing a block-encoding of f(H) requires at least  $\left\|\frac{df}{dx}\right\|_{I}$  uses of *U*, if  $I \subseteq [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  is an interval of potential eigenvalues of *H*.

#### **Proof sketch**

The proof is based on an elementary argument about distinguishability of unitary operators.

### An intuitive lower bound

#### Lower bound on eigenvalue transformation

Suppose that *U* is a block-encoding of a Hermitian matrix *H* from a family of operators. Let  $f: [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ , then implementing a block-encoding of f(H) requires at least  $\left\|\frac{df}{dx}\right\|_{I}$  uses of *U*, if  $I \subseteq [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  is an interval of potential eigenvalues of *H*.

#### **Proof sketch**

The proof is based on an elementary argument about distinguishability of unitary operators.

**Optimality of pseudoinverse implementation** 

Let 
$$I := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa}, \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and let  $f(x) := \frac{1}{\kappa x}$ , then  $\left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{\frac{1}{\kappa}} = -\kappa$ .

Thus our implementation is optimal up to the  $log(1/\varepsilon)$  factor.

## Summarizing the various speed-ups

Speed-up	Source of speed-up	Examples of algorithms
Exponential	Dimensionality of the Hilbert space	Hamiltonian simulation
	Precise polynomial approximations	Improved HHL algorithm
Quadratic	Singular value = square root of probability	Grover search
	Singular values are easier to distinguish	Amplitude estimation
	Close-to-1 singular values are more flexible	Quantum walks

# Summarizing the various speed-ups

Speed-up	Source of speed-up	Examples of algorithms
Exponential	Dimensionality of the Hilbert space	Hamiltonian simulation
	Precise polynomial approximations	Improved HHL algorithm
Quadratic	Singular value = square root of probability	Grover search
	Singular values are easier to distinguish	Amplitude estimation
	Close-to-1 singular values are more flexible	Quantum walks

#### Some more applications

- Quantum walks, fast QMA amplification, fast quantum OR lemma
- Quantum Machine learning: PCA, principal component regression
- "Non-commutative measurements" (for ground state preparation)
- Sample and gate efficient metrology, fractional queries



Hamiltonian simulation





