# PHYSICS 491: Symmetry and Quantum Information Problem Set 2 Michael Walter, Stanford University April 25, 2017

# Problem 1 (Pure state entanglement).

In this exercise you will study the entanglement of pure states  $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . In class, we discussed the Schmidt decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i |e_i\rangle_A \otimes |f_i\rangle_B$$

and its relation to the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrices. For simplicity we will assume that  $\dim \mathcal{H}_A = \dim \mathcal{H}_B = d$ .

- (a) We say that  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  is maximally entangled if  $s_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$  for all i. Show that  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  is maximally entangled if and only if  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  are maximally mixed (i.e., proportional to 1).
- (b) Show that  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  is a product state if and only if  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  are pure states.

This suggests that the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrices  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  can be used to characterize the entanglement of  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ . As an example, consider the *Rényi-2 entropy*, defined by

$$S_2(A) = -\log \operatorname{tr} \rho_A^2$$
.

- (c) Find a formula for  $S_2(A)$  in terms of the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrices.
- (d) Show that  $S_2(A) = 0$  for product states,  $S_2(A) = \log d$  for maximally entangled states, and otherwise  $0 < S_2(A) < \log d$ .

You will now study the average entanglement of pure states in  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ , drawn at random from the "uniform" probability distribution  $d\psi_{AB}$  that you know from class.

(e) Let  $F_A$  denote the swap operator on  $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes 2}$  that sends  $|a_1, a_2\rangle \mapsto |a_2, a_1\rangle$ . Verify that

$$\operatorname{tr} \rho_A^2 = \operatorname{tr} \left[ \left( F_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BB} \right) |\psi\rangle_{AB}^{\otimes 2} \left\langle \psi|_{AB}^{\otimes 2} \right].$$

(f) Let  $F_B$  denote the swap operator on  $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes 2}$ , defined in the same way as  $F_A$ . Show that

$$\int d\psi_{AB} |\psi\rangle_{AB}^{\otimes 2} \langle\psi|_{AB}^{\otimes 2} = \frac{1}{d^2(d^2+1)} \left(\mathbb{1}_{AA} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BB} + F_A \otimes F_B\right).$$

Hint: Remember the symmetric subspace.

(g) Show that the average Rényi-2 entropy  $S_2(A)$  of a random pure state is no smaller than  $\log d - \log 2$ .

*Hint: Jensen's inequality shows that*  $\int d\psi \log f(|\psi\rangle) \leq \log (\int d\psi f(|\psi\rangle))$ .

## **Problem 2** (Extensions of quantum states).

In this exercise you will verify two important facts that we discussed in class:

(a) Show that any density operator admits a purification. That is, given a quantum state  $\rho_A$  on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A$ , construct a pure state  $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_B$  is some auxiliary Hilbert space, such that

$$\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B \left[ |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AB} \right].$$

Hint: Consider the spectral decomposition of  $\rho_A$ .

(b) Show that any extension of a pure state is a tensor product. That is, show that if  $\rho_A$  is pure then any extension is of the form

$$\rho_{AB} = \rho_A \otimes \rho_B.$$

Hint: You have already solved this problem in the case that  $\rho_{AB}$  is pure.

## **Problem 3** (The symmetric subspace is irreducible).

In this problem, you will show that the symmetric subspace is an irreducible representation of SU(d). We will start with d = 2. For any operator M on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , define a corresponding operator on  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$  by

$$\widetilde{M} = M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_n$$
.

Here we write  $M_1 = M \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes ... \otimes \mathbb{1}$ ,  $M_2 = \mathbb{1} \otimes M \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes ... \otimes \mathbb{1}$ , etc. Now consider an arbitrary subspace  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$  that is invariant for  $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ .

(a) Show that  $\widetilde{M}|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  for any vector  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Hint: If H is Hermitian then  $e^{iH}$  is unitary.

In class, we observed that the symmetric subspace has natural occupation number basis. For d = 2, it is given by

$$||t\rangle\rangle \propto |\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{t},\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{n-t}\rangle + \text{permutations} \qquad (t=0,\ldots,n).$$

- (b) Find an operator M such that  $\widetilde{M}$  has the basis vectors  $||t\rangle\rangle$  as eigenvectors (with distinct eigenvalues). Conclude that  $\mathcal{H}$  is spanned by a subset of the basis vectors  $||t\rangle\rangle$ .
- (c) Find operators  $M_{\pm}$  such that  $\widetilde{M}_{\pm}||t\rangle\rangle \propto ||t\pm 1\rangle\rangle$ . Conclude that  $\mathcal{H}$  is either  $\{0\}$  or all of  $\operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^d)$ .

Thus you have proved that  $\operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is indeed an irreducible representation of  $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ !

- (d) Any irreducible representation of SU(2) can be labeled by its spin j. What is the spin of the symmetric subspace Sym<sup>n</sup>( $\mathbb{C}^2$ )?
- (e) Optional: Sketch how your proof can be generalized to show that  $\operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^d)$  is an irreducible representation of  $\operatorname{SU}(d)$ .

2

Bonus Problem 4 (Entanglement witnesses and convexity).

An observable  $X_{AB}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$  is called an *entanglement witness* for a quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  if

$$\operatorname{tr}[X_{AB}\rho_{AB}] < 0,$$

while

$$\operatorname{tr}[X_{AB}\sigma_{AB}] \ge 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for all separable states  $\sigma_{AB}$ .

- (a) Construct an entanglement witness for the maximally entangled state  $|\Phi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ . Hint: Compute the overlap of  $|\Phi^{+}\rangle$  with a pure product state  $|\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes |\phi\rangle_{B}$ . Why could this help?
- (b) Argue that for any entangled state  $\rho_{AB}$  there exists an entanglement witness  $X_{AB}$ . Hint: You do not need to construct the entanglement witness explicitly.

## Bonus Problem 5 (The extendibility hierarchy).

In this problem, you will show that any quantum state that has an n-extension is close to a separable state if n is large, as discussed in class.

(a) Imitate the proof of the quantum de Finetti theorem given in class to show that, for any pure state  $|\Phi\rangle_{AB_1...B_n} \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathcal{H}_B)$ ,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{B_2...B_n}[|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|] \approx \int d\psi \, p(\psi) \, |W_{\psi}\rangle\langle W_{\psi}|_A \otimes |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{B_1}$$

for large n. Here, the integral is over the set of pure states on  $\mathcal{H}_B$ ,  $p(\psi)$  is a probability density, and the  $|W_{\psi}\rangle$  are pure states in  $\mathcal{H}_A$ .

Now suppose that  $\rho_{AB}$  is an arbitrary quantum state that has an *n*-extension (i.e., that there exists some  $\sigma_{AB_1...B_n}$  such that  $\sigma_{AB_k} = \rho_{AB}$  for all k).

(b) Show that  $\rho_{AB}$  also has an n-extension  $\rho_{AB_1...B_n}$  that is permutation-invariant on the B-systems, i.e.,  $[\mathbbm{1}_A \otimes R_\pi, \rho] = 0$  for all  $\pi \in S_n$ .

Any *n*-extension as in (b) admits a purification in  $(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{A'}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B'})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{A'} = \mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{B'} = \mathcal{H}_B$ .

(c) Conclude that any n-extendible  $\rho_{AB}$  is close to a separable state for large n.

Hint: The trace distance does not increase when you take the partial trace.